Oldenburg

in the Grand-Duchy of

Oldenburg of he some ofthe 7 Sept. 1856. My Dear for, You must exerce me for not having answered there by months. Not only the brokble of a removal, but a protracted illness of my youngest boy and a farrago of unpleasant affairs which my new office (vacant for the years) laid me under charge to wind up, and perhaps more than that the change of air and come Try whorn was not for the better, have

So much pressed upon me that I have not been able to fouch pen or paper for other things than what was absolutely neversary and have made all my friends and relations complain of my per-Anavious silence. Therefore think me not forgetful or ungrateful for the interesting supplement of Faisimiler which you had the Kondness to send me. They would hardly support the conjecture (which else might be thought most convenient and is indeed the usual expedient for explaining things of the kind) of two hands (cooperated in the emendations and render me more and more inclined to think all those notes transported from a manuscrijet, as who would tunk conjecturing of so extensive proportion and in such a writer possible without more visible fraves of the 3 opera and privatur emendandig V of Aminh these far-similes add greatly to bearing out the truth of your supposition and that you would gretify all by making them public jurs on a larger Joale. - as to my intended edition of the quarto, 1597 and 1609 of Romes and Fuliet parallelized with ease other, I am sorry that they cannot be prin. led in England and vannot hope to find a publisher for it in germany. So I must drop the plan and leave? the sheets in serinis, wishing that no better work

May undergo the same fate. I should thank you very much if you would procare for me a copy of the reprinted folio of Th. 1623 et the price of & 3. or 3. 3.0. white you mentioned in your last letter. Am I to frament the money to you or to pay it here to my bookseller together with the cost of the carriage a The grainage would perhaps to best sent to Germany by means of David Nutt,
270, Frand the publisher of that admirable life of goethe by Lewes - if you should know the author, av avridentelly, you may remember me to him at Hoy one of his sincere admissers, though I have also some slight oversion to grapple with him, for he praises Goethe mostly by endeavouring to show that his being which was not German, and consumer one of his plays which was not German, and consumer one of his plays which he confesses not to have read and directed either to y my own address or that of my broksellor Mr. Ferdinand Schmidt Oldenburg (via Bremen) The Jone for the possession of the Reprint, for as I have been long time labouring under a total depression of spiriti, I should gladly set out again In inquiring into Shakespeare-intirism, if not for

publishing any thing yet for my own amusement. How happy am I to hear that you intend to give a list of every emendation and to add a defensatory preface of your own. I should thank you much for immedialely transmitting it to me after its being printed, for I should insert some words about it into one of our critical journals, the interest even for this questionable point of the criticism being still alive in Germany. as to my translation (or rather that superintended and revised by me), I have inserted some of the emendations in all plays, even in those translated by Schlegel, though this elegant poet was so happy in rendering the mind and tenor of the whole that it seldom matters whether he did quite correctly justice to the detail. Many things in a tranlation if once - hit upon with some felicity are not be changed even for a considerable change of the original text. But as Tick had not the same felicity of expression, I have venture to change much more in Tierk's translations, name ly in Corrolanus, antony y Ce., Meas. J. Meas., Winterfo T., Timon King Eear, but, though the changes do not along refer to the emendations made by the Corrector of your folio 1632, which nearly all adopted in these plays), only a few pas. sages are quite of my own translating. The sole play which I am to answer for myself (in the edition of 9 vols whork you have), is marbeth, with exception of the beginning some, which I look from a fragment published in the posthumous works of a. w. Schlegel, and of part of the Withhes Seems [3,5. 4,1) which seemed to me tolerably well rendered by Fierk and Situller Believe me, Dearest Ly, most truly yours.